WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UN	NITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Saul Moises Mendez-Burgos	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		_ Case Number: <u>11-05876M-001</u>	
and was repre of the defenda	e with the Ball Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 37 esented by counsel. I conclude by a preparant pending trial in this case.	142(f), a detention hearing was held on April 18, 2011. Defendant was preser onderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detentio	
I find by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
		United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum o	of years imprisonment.	
The C at the time of t	the fleating in this matter, except as not	rial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Coured in the record. ONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1.	There is a serious risk that the defend		
2.	No condition or combination of condit	ions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
		DNS REGARDING DETENTION	
appeal. The de	ecinity separate, to the extent practicable, efendant shall be afforded a reasonable tates or on request of an attorney for the	the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the e of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
	APPEALS	AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
IT IS C deliver a copy of Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this of the motion for review/reconsideration to the motion for review for the motion for t	detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
Services Suffic	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to iently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATE: April	18. 2011		
		JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge	